







Bayes law		
Use data to update belief (1762)		
Prob(hypothesis data) proportional to Prob(data hypothesis) x Prob(hypothesis)		
New belief, after seeing data	How well hypothesis explains data	Old belief, before seeing data
posterior	likelihood	prior













































Jury convicts sex offender in fifth trial

By Justin George and Justin F The Baltimore Sun contact the reporters

SHARELINES

- 🎔 🔨 After four acquittals, jarors convicted Nelson Clifford of sex offenses in a fifth trial
- Y Nelson Clifford faces life in prison after a jury convicted him of sex offenses Friday.
 Prosecutors view conviction of sex offender as a needed win against predatory behavior.
- MAY 8, 2015, 8-42 P**

A Baltimore jury convicted a man Friday who had been acquitted in four previous sexual assault trials, a win for prosecutors who revived the discarded case in a hid to secure an elusive conviction. Nelson Bernard Clifford, a convicted sex offender, was found guilty of two counts of third-degree sex offnew. While the counts individually carry a maximum sentence of 10 years, prosecutors asy Clifford faces an enhanced penalty – up to life in prison – because of prior convictions.

Validation papers

Perlin MW, Sinelnikov A. An information gap in DNA evidence interpretation. *PLoS ONE*. 2009;4(12):e8327.

Ballantyne J, Hanson EK, Perlin MW. DNA mixture genotyping by probabilistic computer interpretation of binomially-sampled laser captured cell populations: Combining quantitative data for greater identification information. *Science & Justice*. 2013;53(2):103-14.

Perlin MW, Hornyak J, Sugimoto G, Miller K. TrueAllele® genotype identification on DNA mixtures containing up to five unknown contributors. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 2015;on-line.

Greenspoon SA, Schiermeier-Wood L, Jenkins BC. Establishing the limits of TrueAllele® Casework: a validation study. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 2015;*in press*.

Perlin MW, Legler MM, Spencer CE, Smith JL, Allan WP, Belrose JL, Duceman BW. Validating TrueAllele® DNA mixture interpretation. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 2011;56(6):1430-47.

Perlin MW, Belrose JL, Duceman BW. New York State TrueAllele® Casework validation study. Journal of Forensic Sciences. 2013;58(6):1458-66.

Perlin MW, Dormer K, Hornyak J, Schiermeier-Wood L, Greenspoon S. TrueAllele[®] Casework on Virginia DNA mixture evidence: computer and manual interpretation in 72 reported criminal cases. *PLOS ONE*. 2014;(9)3:e92837.

















- based on sufficient facts or data
 product of reliable principles and methods
- expert has reliably applied methods to data

- Daubert factors: (1) methods centered upon a **testable** hypothesis (2) **error rate** associated with the method (3) method has been subject to **peer review** (4) **generally accepted** in relevant scientific community
 - (Frye criterion)

Acceptance is widespread

Admitted after Daubert or Frye challenge in: California, Louisiana, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia, Australia & United Kingdom

Used in hundreds of criminal cases in most of the United States, for both prosecution and defense

Crimes labs use TrueAllele® system in California, South Carolina & Virginia; others starting soon

TrueAllele brings DNA mixture evidence back into the case, with *guilty plea* the most common outcome





